

The FCC needs to be more concerned with obscenity & violence issues over the public airwaves, rather than programming issues on a "pay" satellite service. I guess large companies like Clear Channel once again prove that the more \$\$ you have the more influence you have over the political process. Broadcast radio claims that satellite radio content is targeted towards audiophiles & serious music lovers, whereas local broadcast radio will always have a large audience for the "casual" listener who wants a local flavor & content to their listening experience. So why would the NAB feel threatened by satellite programming? Last time I checked broadcast TV doesn't have an issue with HBO & their programming line-up. Seems to me that the NAB & their clients should be more concerned with upgrading their programming & rolling with the changing times instead of crying foul when someone comes up with a more efficient business model.